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Introduction and Overview

- Compelling need for transportation planning to meet the emerging needs of megaregions

- Context: how transportation is planned in US
  - US framework for transportation planning
    - Roles of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs)
    - State Departments of Transportation (DOTs)
    - Partners
  - Compare to planning processes in place for Megaregions

- Findings from Volpe research on transportation planning for megaregions
  - Focus on role of MPOs
    - Insights from “best practice” case studies
  - What’s happening? Innovations and adaptability.
  - What isn’t happening?
  - Focus: Observations, challenges, opportunities

* Views of presenter, not necessarily FHWA or USDOT.
Definition of Megaregions

Large networks of metropolitan centers and surrounding areas connected thru cultural, environmental, economic characteristics as well as infrastructure.
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Focus on planning for Megaregions

- Challenges, issues and opportunities going forward
- *Is the glass half full or half empty?*
Worldwide Commodity Flows
The 11 Mega-Regions of the U.S.
Why Megaregions?

- Responds to reality of emerging large-scale regions
- Better adapted to deal with global economic opportunities and environmental issues
- Provides strategy to act globally, while providing a local focus on livability and sustainability
- Improves health, mobility and employment opportunities across large-scale regions
- Supports transportation innovation
## Emerging Importance of Megaregions

### Comparison of Major Variables in Megaregions and Non-megaregions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Megaregion</strong></td>
<td>29.60%</td>
<td>76.54%</td>
<td>76.98%</td>
<td>81.47%</td>
<td>92.07%</td>
<td>86.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-megaregion</strong></td>
<td>70.40%</td>
<td>23.46%</td>
<td>23.02%</td>
<td>18.53%</td>
<td>7.93%</td>
<td>13.23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ross et al.
Mega-regions and Freight

- American-International trade concentrated in mega-regions: 66%
- Moved by truck between mega-regions: 77%
- Increase in export international trade to mega-regions by 2035: 134%
- Increase in import international trade to mega-regions by 2035: 124%
Recommendations for a Trans-American Freight Network
Recommendations for a Trans-American Passenger Network
A Phasing Plan for High-Speed Rail
Two ways to approach planning for Megaregions

- Data driven approach
  - Criteria: population, economic, land use, mobility forecasts

- Flexible approach
  - Identify near and long term problems and opportunities
  - Initiate actions to support agency or stakeholder’s goals and priorities
    - MPO => Metro area
    - DOT => State
    - Private sector => Business
  - Work toward convergence of mutual interests with partners
Planning Context: Federal Planning Requirements and Programs

- Formal institutional roles and responsibilities
  - Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs)
    - Policy Board: strong role for local elected officials
  - State Departments of Transportation (DOTs)
  - Transit, other modal operators
  - Stakeholder involvement
  - Public participation

- US Department of Transportation
  - Funds for projects and planning
  - Regulatory Oversight of planning process
Planning Context: Federal Planning Requirements

- **Systems approach**: Integrated, multimodal, and intermodal
  - Regional and statewide networks
  - Level playing ground: flexible funding
- **3-C Planning Process**
  - Continuing, Comprehensive and Cooperative planning
- Financial Realism
- Targets for air quality
- “Proactive” public involvement
- MPO Board: involvement of elected officials
- Environmental Justice
- Planning factors: emphasis areas
- **Key products:**
  - Strategic: 20 year plan
  - Implementation: 4 year investment program
Federal planning requirements evolve under MAP-21

- July 2012 Reauthorization
- Projects of Regional and National Significance (Sec. 1118)
  - Funding: authorized for FY13
  - For DOTs, MPOs, ports, transit, local
    - Multi-jurisdictional group
  - Capital projects or program of integrated projects
    - Includes project development including planning
Federal planning requirements evolve under MAP-21

- Metropolitan Transportation Planning (Sec. 1201)
- Supports coordination of planning for:
  - Multistate metropolitan areas
  - Along designated corridors
  - MPO coordination with Interstate Compacts
    - High-speed/inter-city rail, intermodal terminals
- Coordination by adjacent planning agencies
  - MPOs with non-urban, other types of planning
    - Economic development, housing, environment, etc.
- Establishment of performance-based approach
Timeline: Volpe Megaregions Projects

  - Strategy Paper: Planning Major Inter-regional Projects *
- Phoenix MPO (MAG) Federal Planning Certification (2010)
  - Megaregions Commendation (best practice)
  - US/Dutch approaches to Planning Major Inter-regional Projects *
- TRB: 2010 workshop*, 2011/12 panels, Volpe 2012 paper *
- White papers for FHWA (2012) *
  - Role of MPOs
  - Role of Rural Areas
- FHWA/FTA Transportation Planning Capacity Building Peer Exchange *
  - “The Role of MPOs in Megaregions Planning” (MAG/Phoenix, 5/12)
- Support to FHWA Megaregions research group (2011- )

*Links to external products will be posted.*
Adaptation of Transportation Planning

- Emerging importance of megaregions
  - Changing demographics
  - Longer commute patterns
  - Increased interaction between urbanized areas
  - Attention to rural areas
  - New federal partnerships
    - Including livability and sustainability (DOT-HUD-EPA)
  - Emphasis on performance based planning
    - Transparency, accountability, results
White Paper: MPO Planning for Megaregions

- USDOT/Volpe Center for FHWA Office of Planning
- **Focus**: evolving role of MPOs, with DOTs, other partners
- Policy context: research and advocacy proposals
- Federal planning requirements: relevance and limitations
- Opportunities to encourage planning for megaregions
  - Technical assistance
  - Research
  - Other

*To support successful engagement by MPOs and partners in megaregions planning*
Relevance of Core Federal Planning Elements

- MPO organization and roles
  - Board
  - Agreements
- Visioning and Scenario Plans
- Funding sources: STP, Enhancements, Planning, FTA Rural
- Planning Factors
- Unified Planning Work Program
- Fiscal Constraint
- Congestion Management
- Stakeholder Collaboration (Freight, Tribes, Rural areas)
- Public Involvement
MPO Research Partners

- Peer exchange and Volpe case studies and analysis
  - MPO Planning for 7 of 11 Megaregions
    - Arizona Sun Corridor in Arizona *
    - Southern California *
    - Piedmont Atlantic *
    - Colorado’s Front Range *
    - Buffalo-Niagara-Toronto *
    - I-95 Corridor (Philadelphia, Northern NJ, NY City MPOs) *
    - Interregional alliances in Central Florida

- Snapshots of case studies
- Findings from white paper and peer exchange

* Peers at FHWA-FTA Capacity Building workshop, Phoenix, 5/12
Arizona Sun Corridor

- Host: May 2012 FHWA/FTA Peer exchange
  - Mayors, Board members
  - 60 DOT, MPO, city/county, rural peers
  - Keynote: Dr. Catherine Ross, GA Tech
  - Learn from models of other best practices
  - Provide national “best practices”
  - Motivate partners for further progress
Arizona’s Sun Corridor

- Considerable growth
- Stretches from Prescott to Sonora at the Mexican border, a distance of about 275 miles
- Population and employment forecast to double by 2050, encompassing more than 85% of Arizona
Arizona’s Sun Corridor

- Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG, Maricopa County)
- Pima Association of Governments (PAG, Pima County)
- Central Arizona Association of Governments (CAAG, Gila and Pinal Counties)
- Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT)
- Arizona State Land Department (ASLD)
Arizona’s Sun Corridor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>7.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>10.0 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Freight Framework Study

- 12- to 18-months
- Mega-regional study
  - MAG
  - PAG
  - CAAG
  - ADOT
- Infrastructure Improvements
- Inland Port
Southern California Mega-Region

Economic Concentration

• 10th Largest Economy in the World
• Nation’s Largest Trade Gateway
• GDP = $900 Billion Annually
• Nearly 58% of California Total GDP
• Over 7% of the Nation’s Total GDP
CHSRA Network: 2012

- Initial Operating Segment: Central Valley
- Extend to So Cal first
- Blended operations and system
- Early Investments
- SD in Phase 2
**SB 375 Implementation**

- Approved Plan in October 2011
- Meets GHG Reduction Targets from CA Air Resources Board (CARB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Year</th>
<th>CARB Target</th>
<th>2050 RTP/SCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Atlanta Regional Commission

ARC has been at the forefront of regional planning in the U.S. since it’s creation in 1947

- Local Government Services
- Aging Services
- Workforce Development
- Research - Data
- Environmental Planning
- Transportation Planning
- Land Use Planning
Have you met P.A.M.?
Natural Resources

[Map of the southeastern United States showing regions such as mountain ranges, cities, rivers, watersheds, protected lands, and critical lands.]

Regional impact + local relevance
Global Connections

- Savannah and Charleston form the center of containerized trade in the South Atlantic.
- Atlanta and Charlotte enplaned over 60 million passengers in 2010.
- Memphis is the busiest cargo airport in the US.
Challenges: Piedmont Alliance

- Lack of a Champion
- Panama Canal
- Tri-State Water Litigation
- Growth and Infrastructure
- Economy
An Emerging Mega-Region
Front Range Colorado
Working Together for a Shared Future

Robert MacDonald, PE
Executive Director, PPACG
FHWA Peer Exchange at MAG
May 10, 2012
Emerging Mega-Region of the Continental Divide

We are becoming a SINGLE Front Range Mega-region

- Our decisions should consider the impact on neighboring regions and states

- What ties our mega-region together
  - Geographical Features
  - Markets
  - Transportation Infrastructure
  - Military
Healthy economies are linked to efficient travel between Front Range areas.

Daily inter-regional travel for freight, work, shopping, and other attractions is growing.

We are becoming a Front Range Region.
Greater Buffalo Niagara Transportation Council: The MPO

- Partnership of Governments and Agencies with forty year history in Buffalo Niagara
- Performance and Project Delivery Focus
- Substantial engagement of business community, developmental agencies, interest groups
- History of BiNational planning at the border and the greater Megaregion
Bi-national transportation planning for an integrated economy
### Some Representative Urbanized Concentrations In North America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Urban Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.4 Million</td>
<td>• New York-New Jersey-Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.2 Million</td>
<td>• Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0 Million</td>
<td>• Toronto-Hamilton- Niagara Falls-Buffalo-Rochester-Syracuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9 Million</td>
<td>• Chicago-Gary-Kenosha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4 Million</td>
<td>• Washington-Baltimore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multistate Reach of Cross Border Freight Flow
Transportation Infrastructure at the International Border in Megaregion

- Four (4) International Motor Vehicle Bridges
- QEW and Interstate I-90 (Major Connectors)
- Two (2) Railway Bridges
- Four (4) Major Railways
- Rail Passenger Service VIA and AMTRAK
- Commuter Rail – GO Train
- Inter-City Bus Services
- Four (4) Major Airports
- Welland Canal (St. Lawrence Seaway), Several Ports
BiNational Transportation Strategy Considerations

• Existing and future transportation - related to border crossing problems and needed improvements

• Connectivity to population, economic and recreational centers in both counties

• Availability of transportation mode choice

• Sufficient network redundancy or capacity to accommodate periods of stress on specific links

• An implementation strategy that includes clear roles, responsibilities and timing
Stages of Evolution for Megaregions Planning: Volpe Framework for a Complete Planning Approach

- Define **boundaries**, identify **needs** and **flows**
- Engage **stakeholders**
- **Vision**: priorities, challenges, strategies
- Collect and exchange **data**
- Priority **area focus**
- Cooperative **sub-area or modal studies**
- Mega-region studies influence **MPO planning**
- Megaregion studies influence **MPO project selection**
- Joint megaregion scale **projects, operations**
- Plan, **build, maintain** mega-region scale system
- Focus on **performance** at all stages
Evolution of Case Study Regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Define boundaries, needs, flows</th>
<th>Engage stakeholders</th>
<th>Visioning</th>
<th>Collect, exchange data</th>
<th>Priority area focus</th>
<th>Cooperative, sub-area or modal studies</th>
<th>MR studies and MR scale planning, MPO projects</th>
<th>Joint MR scale projects, operations system</th>
<th>Plan, build, maintain MR-scale system</th>
<th>Measure performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piedmont</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central FL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun Corridor (AZ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Corridor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature Megaregion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Opaque colors indicate partial completion of stage
Key themes: white paper and peer exchange

- Value of planning framework for Megaregions
  - What a complete planning approach would look like
- Enhancing regional competitiveness is a key goal
- Freight, port access, border crossings, are key concerns
- Establish new governance without creating new government
- Partnerships develop out of necessity and opportunity
  - Underlying importance of data defining megaregions
  - Megaregion Boundaries are Flexible
  - MPOs participate in multiple Megaregions
- Important role for MPOs, but may not be most logical long-term leaders
Key themes: white paper and peer exchange

- Megaregions need a champion
- Connect metro area or state looking in to Megaregion looking outside
  - Alternative Transportation Modes Play an Important Role
  - Link Megaregion Planning to Land Use and Transit – growth issues
- Megaregion partnerships can address more than transportation
Potential Opportunities

- Find common goals among diverse partners
- Develop forums for sharing information and best practices
- Study disaster response, climate change planning and European examples
- Power in numbers
Potential Opportunities

- Encourage Megaregion collaboration between Federal modal agencies
- Engage Federal Land Management Agencies and US Military Installations
- Enhanced technical tools, data and models, including for freight, are needed to advance
- Megaregion-scale Vision and Scenario Planning: possible pilot?
Megaregions Project Team

- **Volpe Center**
  - William M. Lyons  William.Lyons@dot.gov
  - Haley Peckett
  - Kevin McCoy
  - Monisha Khurana

- **FHWA Office of Planning**
  - Fred Bowers

*Links will be provided for reports referenced.*